



## Assessment of the in vitro efficacy of CHD-FA on different yeasts and filamentous moulds

Final report for Fulhold Ltd.

Axel Hamprecht<sup>1</sup>, Maria Rüping<sup>2</sup>, Janne Vehreschild<sup>2</sup> and Oliver Cornely<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institut für medizinische Mikrobiologie, Immunologie und Hygiene

<sup>2</sup>Klinik I für Innere Medizin

### Methods

All tests were performed using CHD-FA (carbohydrate derived fulvic acid) provided by Fulhold Ltd. as a 4% or 8% solution and stored at room temperature in the dark.

### Strains

All strains were isolated from cases of invasive human diseases (Fungiscope collection)

#### 1. Zygomycetes

- a) *Rhizopus oryzae*
- b) *Myocladus ramosus* (= *A. corymbifera*)
- c) *Mucor circinelloides*
- d) *Rhizomucor pusillus*

#### 2. Fusarium

- a) *F. solani*
- b) *F. incarnatum*
- c) *F. verticilloides*

#### 3. Scedosporium

- a) *S. prolificans*
- b) *S. apiospermum*
- c) *S. apiospermum*

#### 4. Yeasts

- a) *T. asahii*
- b) *G. capitatum*
- c) *Rhod. mucilaginosa*

## **Determination of the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC)**

All moulds were cultured on Sabouraud dextrose agar or oatmeal agar for 2 to 14 days at 30°C until they sporulated readily. Spores were harvested by adding sterile aqua dest. supplemented with 0,1% Tween 20 to the cultures. Yeasts were cultured on Sabouraud dextrose agar or chocolate agar for 24h at 37°C. Inoculum density was determined in a haemocytometer chamber and adjusted to a final inoculum between  $1 \times 10^5$  CFU/mL and  $2.5 \times 10^5$  CFU/mL.

MIC was determined following EUCAST recommendations using RPMI 1640 supplemented with glucose to a final concentration of 2% and 3-(Morpholino) propanesulfonic acid (MOPS) at a final concentration of 0.165 mol/L. The pH was adjusted to 7.0.

All tests were performed in duplicates with control of the inoculum.

## **Assay conditions**

Sterile, 96-well flat bottom plastic microtiter plates were used for all tests.

### **Dilution of CHD-FA**

Column 1 contained 200µl of 4% CHD-FA (native pH or pH 7.0), columns 2-7 contained 100µl of distilled water.

100µl of CHD-FA from column 1 were transferred with a multichannel pipette to column 2 and thoroughly mixed, before 100µl were then transferred to the next well, resulting in a 2 fold dilution.

CHD-FA was tested at its native pH of 2.1-2.2 or after the pH was adjusted to 7.0 by addition of 10 M NaOH.

### **Addition of RPMI 1640**

50µl of 4x RPMI 1640 was added to each well.

### **Addition of spore suspensions**

50µl of a spore suspension containing  $4 - 10 \times 10^5$  CFU/ml was added, resulting in a final spore concentration of  $1 - 2.5 \times 10^5$  CFU/ml. Inoculum controls as well as sterility controls were done for each isolate tested.

### **Incubation and reading of plates**

Plates were incubated for 24-48h at 36°C, reading was done visually at complete growth inhibition.

## Results

### 1. Zygomycetes

Isolate	Identification	MIC pH 2.1	MIC pH 7.0
I	<i>M. circinelloides</i>	0,5%	1%
II	<i>R. oryzae</i>	1%	1%
III	<i>Rhizom. pusillus</i>	0,25%	0,5%
IV	<i>Myocl. ramosus</i>	0,25%	0,25%
V	<i>R. oryzae</i>	0,25%	0,25%
VI	<i>Myocl. ramosus</i>	0,5%	0,5%

All strains of zygomycetes tested were inhibited by 1% or less of CHD-FA. Differences between pH 2.1 and pH 7.0 were observed for one strain of *M. circinelloides* and one strain of *R. oryzae*.

### 2. *Fusarium* spp.

Isolate	Identification	MIC pH 2.1	MIC pH 7.0
I	<i>F. verticilloides</i>	0,5%	1%
II	<i>F. incarnatum</i>	1%	1%
III	<i>F. solani</i>	1%	1%
IV	<i>F. solani</i>	2%	2%
V	<i>F. solani</i>	0,5%	2%

All strains were inhibited at a concentration of CHD-FA of 2% or less.

#### 4. *Scedosporium* spp.

Isolate	Identification	MIC pH 2.1	MIC pH 7.0
I	<i>S. prolificans</i>	0,25%	1%
II	<i>S. apiospermum</i>	0,5%	1%
III	<i>S. apiospermum</i>	0,5%	1%

The *Scedosporium* spp. isolates tested were all inhibited by 1% CHD-FA at pH 7.0, with lower MICs at pH 2.1.

#### 5. Yeasts

Isolate	Identification	MIC pH 2.1	MIC pH 7.0
I	<i>T. asahii</i>	1%	2%
II	<i>G. capitatum</i>	0,5%	2%
III	<i>Rhod. mucilaginoso</i>	1%	2%
IV	<i>T. asahii</i>	1%	1%
V	<i>T. asahii</i>	1%	2%

All yeasts were inhibited by CHD-FA 0,5-1 at pH 2.1. At pH 7.0 the MICs increased to 2,0% for almost all isolates; after 48h of incubation, MICs increased to >2% for all isolates.

#### **Effect of human serum on the antifungal activity of CHD-FA**

In order to assess the efficacy of CHD-FA in the presence of human serum, 2 isolates were tested. Instead of 50µl 4x RPMI 1640, 25µl human serum and 25µl RPMI 1640 were used.

At pH 2,1 there was no MIC difference when two *Scedosporium* spp. isolates were tested with or without human serum. At pH 7,0 however the MIC increased by the factor 2.

#### **Effect of hydrochloric acid on fungal growth**

To exclude the inhibition of growth by the acid alone/the pH, hydrochloric acid at pH 2.1 and pH 5.5 was also tested against a strain of *R. oryzae* and *M. circinelloides*. No inhibitory effect of HCl at pH 2.1 and 5.5 was noted. At the same pH, CHD-FA inhibited growth at 1% and 0,5%, respectively. The antifungal effect of CHD-FA

therefore does not seem to be related to pH alone. This is furthermore underlined by the inhibitory effect of CHD-FA at pH 7.0.

## Conclusion

CHD-FA was effective *in vitro* against all fungi tested. Its antifungal activity was better at its native pH of 2.1 for most isolates than at pH 7.0.

CHD-FA remained active against *Scedosporium* spp. when tested in presence of 12,5% human serum; however, the MIC rose at pH 7.0 when compared to the results without serum.

## Outlook

The results show good antifungal activity of CHD-FA *in vitro* against a broad range of medically important fungi. Depending on the species, the MIC obtained was between 0,25% and 2%. It remains to be established if these levels can also be achieved *in vivo*.

Before a possible use the levels of CHD-FA that can be reached *in vivo* have to be determined. This will be crucial before any approval as a drug will be granted.

If effective levels can be achieved *in vivo*, CHD-FA has to be tested in animal models of fungal disease.

Furthermore, the exact mechanism of CHD-FA's effect on fungi has to be established.

Other tests which should be completed are

- Synergy testing with other antifungal drugs (Amphotericin B, Voriconazole, Caspofungine)

Other promising options are the topical use of CHD-FA in cutaneous fungal infections or in mucositis.